## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES FILED

		2008 SEP -9 AM 9: 22 This space for	
		Clerk's Office File Stamp	
TC	TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
FROM: K. Hammerly, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 9/3/2008			
CA	SE NO.: <u>08cv731</u>	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
CASE TITLE: Metcalf v. Drexel Lending Group, et al			
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint or			
		Motion for a more Definite Statement	
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:			
<b>✓</b>	Local Rule	Discrepancy	
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation	
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper	
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.	
X	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely	
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document	
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions	
	7.1	Missing table of contents	
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself	
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order	
		Supplemental documents require court order	
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest	
		OTHER:	
		<b>Date forwarded:</b> <u>9/3/2008</u>	
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE T IS HEREBY ORDERED:			
X		be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.	
	The document is N copy of this order	NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a on all parties.	
	Rejected documen	t to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers	
	Counsel is advised to Local Rule 83.1	CHAMBERS OF:	
	Dated: 9/5/	os By: Law Clerk	